

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,796	07/23/2003	Tadashi Inoue	· 01206CD/HG	9019	
1933	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 5TH AVE FL 16			YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1742		
		DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/625,796	INOUE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	•
Deborah Yee	1742	

	Deborah Yee	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •	100(=) === 4 (b = ============================					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains the c	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).		•	•				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will not be entered, or b) will will will will not be entered. Note: Note: Not	II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:	De	bouty	l				
		Deborah ee					
		Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it was submitted that Ushioda does not teach a galvanized steel having a tensile strength of 440MPA or a microstructure comprising ferrite together with martensite, bainite or pearlite. It is the examiner's posiiton that these limitations are not recited by the claims and would not be a patentable consideration..